

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,935	11/01/2001	Robert Eric Montgomery	P1088US011 9955	
53096 7590 12/04/2006 DISCUS DENTAL IMPRESSIONS, INC.			EXAM	INER
			JAGOE, DONNA A	
8550 HIGUERA STREET CULVER CITY, CA 90232			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2000	6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TH

## **Advisory Action**

. 3

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/039,935	MONTGOMERY, ROBERT ERIC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donna Jagoe	1614	

Defens the Fillman of an Annual Duief					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donna Jagoe	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropring the final Office to of the final rejection, of the final rejection.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37	CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection of claims 56-65 and 67-77.</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>					
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-65 and 67-77</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t bafara an an tha data of Ellins a bl	-Al£ Al!II	4 1 4 4		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	toe entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide à ).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		•	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		condition for allowar	ice because:		
12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>9/18/06</u>				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: regarding the addition of a chelating agent to claim 56, applicant states that there is not a chelating agent present in the formulations disclosed in Schaeffer and Winston, nor does it appear that either reference discusses any advantages of using a chelating agent in a tooth bleaching mixture. Applicant's attention is drawn to Schaeffer at column 4, example 1. The formulation includes triethanolamine. Triethanolamine acts as a chelating agent, although Schaeffer does not disclose a chelating agent per se, products of identical chemical composition (i.e. a tooth bleaching composition with a chelating agent) can not have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable, therefore if the prior art teaches the identical formula, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709 15 USPQ2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Winston is cited to teach that sodium percarbonate is a hydrogen peroxide releasing agent (precursor) employed in a dentifrice. It is prima facie obvious to substitute equivalents, motivated by the reasonable expectation that the respective species will behave in a comparable manner or give comparable results in comparable circumstances. Regarding the petition to withdraw the terminal disclaimer, the petition has been forwarded to the Petitions Office for decision.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/039,935	MONTGOMERY, ROBERT ERIC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donna Jagoe	1614	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
The amendment document filed on <u>18 September 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawing showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>□ C. Other</li> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>□ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>□ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>□ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>□ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>□ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
1. Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amend filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted.	ment e
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section or non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	nent o a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable  Telephone No.	_

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 78 with the status identifier "new" contains underlining in line 3 of the claim of the works "an alkaline". This is not proper because it is a new claim,.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED